EXHIBIT 15

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 33111-16 Filed 08/22/24 Page 2 of 3 PageID: 230207 Jack Siemiatycki, Ph.D.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY	No.	G)
IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON) MDL TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS) 16-2 MARKETING SALES PRACTICES,) AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY) LITIGATION) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) ALL CASES	No.	G)
IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON) MDL TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS) 16-2 MARKETING SALES PRACTICES,) AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY) LITIGATION) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) ALL CASES)		G)
IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON) MDL TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS) 16-2 MARKETING SALES PRACTICES,) AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY) LITIGATION) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) ALL CASES)		G)
TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS) 16-2 MARKETING SALES PRACTICES,) AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY) LITIGATION) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) ALL CASES)		G)
MARKETING SALES PRACTICES,) AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY) LITIGATION) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) ALL CASES)	2738 (FLW)(LH	G)
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY) LITIGATION) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) ALL CASES)		
LITIGATION) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) ALL CASES)		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) ALL CASES)		
ALL CASES)		
ALL CASES)		
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	7	
TACK GITHIAMYOKI DL D		
JACK SIEMIATYCKI, Ph.D.		
MONTREAL, CANADA		
THURSDAY, JANUARY 31, 201	-9	
9:49 A.M.		
Reported by: Leslie A. Todd		

Jack Siemiatycki, Ph.D.

```
Page 173
 1
     two things with my two ears.
 2
                MS. PARFITT:
                               Sorry.
 3
                THE WITNESS:
                               Can you repeat the last
     part?
 4
 5
     BY MS. BRANSCOME:
 6
                Yeah. You would agree that all of the
 7
     factors that might make someone susceptible to
     developing ovarian cancer are not currently known.
 8
 9
                That's correct.
           Α
                So are -- are you -- are you getting at
10
11
     the potential impact of confounding as -- from
12
     unknown factors as something that hasn't been
     properly evaluated or that is part of this
13
14
     picture?
15
           0
                I am simply asking you --
16
                Yes.
           Α
17
           Q
                -- questions about your opinions.
18
                Yes, yeah.
           Α
19
                But you agree that the possibility of an
           0
20
     unknown confounding variable is something that, as
21
     an epidemiologist, you would at least consider
22
     when looking at the strength of association
23
     established by epidemiological studies, correct?
24
                I would consider it, and I've considered
           Α
25
     it in the context of this literature, and in my
```